

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,774		03/05/2002	Yu-Ming Huang	0941-0418P-SP	8816	
2292	7590	08/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		T KOLASCH &	YENKE, BRIAN P			
	PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
220 0	,			2622		
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
		Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summers	10/087,774	HUANG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	dress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the company					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on A	mendment (06 Jun 06)						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Amendment (06 Jun 06)</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
,) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the r							
- ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	o. 2x pario Quayro, 1000 0	5. 11, 100 0.0.210.					
•		ion						
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
5\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/an alaatian waxaasaa						
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			, ,				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	received in this National	Stage				
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	t received.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No((s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) \(\bigcup \) Notice of (Informal Patent Application (PTC	J-152)				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	٠, 🗀 ٥١١٥١	 •					

Application/Control Number: 10/087,774 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 06 Jun 06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's Arguments

a) Pertaining to the applicants remarks that Cottle recites that the display area does not contain any OSD data, such as background color or motion video, is not included in the frame memory (see column 42, line 37-39, Fig 18e). Thus data stored in frame memory of Cottle is OSD data only, not frame data corresponding to a frame of a display screen without OSD data.

Examiner's Response

b) The examiner agrees that Cottle discloses such a passage. However, Cottle also discloses that the frame memory may include non-OSD data (Fig 18D both figures), where the background color or motion video are stored in the frame memory when the window includes non-OSD data. The claim recites "which corresponds to a frame of a display screen without OSD data", thus given the broadest interpretation, since Cottle discloses only storing windows/frames which include image information, the images stored "correspond to a frame of a display screen without OSD data", since the intent is to store/fill the display with image information. Thus Cottle discloses that blank portions (non-OSD, non-image, non-video data) of the screen are not stored, since they do not include any visible information, thereby only storing bitmaps, images, screens, information which includes visible information as shown in the Figs.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,774 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4a. Claims 1-3 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cottle et al US 6,263,3961.

As is broadly illustrated in figure 18e, Cottle et al described an OSD control system which comprises:

- a) A display memory comprised of a frame memory (312);
- b) A memory (240); and
- c) Circuitry for copying OSD data into the display memory (312) from the memory (240) In considering claim 2,

Note element 272 of figure 18B.

In considering claim 3,

Note element 1040 of figure 18B.

It is noted that Cottle discloses that the display screen may include non-OSD data (i.e. Full screen background 1110 (Fig 18D) or Full screen MPEG motion picture 1120 (Fig 18D) in addition to OSD data (windows 1100, 1102, 1104, 1106, 1122, 1124). Thus the frame data corresponds to frame of a display screen with and/or without OSD data (Fig 18d/e) meeting the claimed limitation.

Regarding the newly added claims, Cottle discloses that the frame memory (312) stores the frame data or not and OSD data, after the OSD data is stored in CPU memory 40 meeting the claimed limitation. Cottle also discloses the claimed outputting the data (frame data or not and OSD data) to a screen display (Fig 18d/18e). Cottle also discloses the storage/display of multiple OSD data types (i.e. different windows) meeting the claimed storing another OSD data.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottle et al US 6,263,3961.

In considering claims 4-5,

The claims differ from the showing of Cottle et al. only in the recitation of the nbit block transfer flag".

It would at least have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the system disclosed by Cottle et al. with a "flag" via the bit block transfer mode for tracking transfers of the OSD via the bit block transfer flag.

In considering claims 6-7,

The claims differ from the showing of Cottle et al. only in the recitation of the "overlay flag".

It would at least have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the system disclosed by Cottle et al. with "flag" for tracking the window location configuration of the frame memory into which the OSD is transferred; i.e. such flags would be cleared wherever the window configuration was changed.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/087,774 Page 6

Art Unit: 2622

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

08 August 2006

+ many

BRIAN P. YENKE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8